IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

,	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	Civil Action No. 06-129 ***
)	
)	
)	
)	
)))))))))

DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Defendants, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel, and request this Honorable Court to deny Plaintiff's Motion.

Defendants waive their right to file a brief in opposition to said motion, and allege the following:

1. Plaintiff, Charles P. Jones, is an inmate currently incarcerated in the Delaware Correctional System at the Delaware Correctional Center (hereinafter "DCC"). On or about February 27, 2006, Plaintiff commenced this action by submitting to the Court his complaint and a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court has already summarized the substance of Plaintiff's complaint:

The facts in this case are straightforward. Jones alleges that on the evening of September 12, 2005, he was attacked by another inmate. The inmate stabbed Jones in the right eye with a sharpened toothbrush. Jones sustained serious injury to the eye, was taken to the emergency room at the Kent General Hospital, and later transferred to the Wills Eye Hospital in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for further care and treatment. Jones alleges he underwent several eye surgeries including a cornea transplant and full retina reattachment. At the time of the filling of the complaint, Jones continues to receive treatment at the Wills Eye

Hospital.

Jones alleges that Warden Carroll failed to protect him from attack by failing to implement policies and procedures to insure the safety of vulnerable inmates from violent and aggressive inmates. Jones alleges that Deputy Warden Pierce and Holeman¹ failed to supervise staff to ensure that the staff was performing its duties in compliance with departmental policies and procedures. Finally, Jones alleges that Staff Lt. Alisha Profaci, Lt. Peter Forbes, and C/O Joe Pomella were either told or were aware of the threats made against him by his attacker, yet failed to protect him from the attack.

Jones v. Carroll, et al, Civil Action No. 06-129 KAJ, mem. order, May 12, 2006.

Basically, Plaintiff claims defendants violated his Eighth Amendment right for failure to protect him. (D.I.I. 2, 13).

- 2. Plaintiff has also filed several motions for Appointment of Counsel. In support of his motions, Plaintiff has stated factors to sustain appointment such that he is incarcerated; has limited access to the DCC law library; is unskilled and ignorant of the law; expert testimony from the opposing side would put him at a considerable disadvantage, and lastly, appointment of counsel would serve the interests of justice in this case. (See, e.g. D.I.3). In response, the Defendants urged that this case was neither factually nor legally complex and that a review of the pleadings and other papers filed in this case demonstrated the ability of the Plaintiff to litigate this action pro se. Upon consideration, the Court consistently concluded that "his allegations are not of such a complex nature as to warrant appointment of counsel at this time." (See, e.g., D.I. 13).
- As Plaintiff concedes, appointment of counsel in this matter is discretionary. (See, 3. D.I. 56). "The Supreme Court has not recognized nor has the court of appeals found a constitutional right to counsel for civil litigants." Parham v. Johnson, 126 F.3d 454, 456 (3d Cir. 1997) (citations omitted). Nor do civil litigants possess a statutory right to appointed counsel.

¹ All claims against Deputy Warden Pierce and Major Holman (misspelled "Holeman" in the complaint) were dismissed as legally and factually frivolous pursuant to 28 § 1915(e)(2)(B) and § 1915A(b)(1).

2

<u>Id.</u> at 457. In exercising its discretion, the Court must make a determination based "upon a showing of special circumstances indicating the likelihood of substantial prejudice to [plaintiff] resulting from [plaintiff's] probable inability without such assistance to present the facts and legal issues to the court in a complex but arguably meritorious case." <u>Smith-Bey v. Petsock</u>, 741 F.2d 22, 26 (3d Cir. 1984); <u>accord</u>, <u>Tabron v. Grace</u>, 6 F.3d 147, 155 (3d Cir. 1993) (representation by counsel may be appropriate under certain circumstances, after a finding that a plaintiff's claim has arguable merit in fact and law). Thus far, the Plaintiff has not made a showing of any other special circumstances indicating the likelihood of substantial prejudice.

- 4. Plaintiff's legal abilities are apparent as reflected in the pleadings filed up to now, i.e. Complaint (D.I. 2); six Motions for Appointment of Counsel (D.I. 3, 23, 31, 45, 48, 56) Motion to Amend the Complaint (D.I. 12); Initial Disclosures (D.I. 35); Discovery Requests for Production of Documents (D.I. 36, 43) and a Motion to Compel (D.I. 42). Plaintiff has demonstrated that he has a clear understanding of the issues and has proven himself quite capable in responding and addressing his claims in this Court.
- 5. On or about March 26, 2007, in Plaintiff's most recent Motion for Appointment of Counsel filed, he claims that he will be released from incarceration sometime in mid April, 2007, "leaving [him] without the help of a law trained person thereafter." (D.I.56). He alleges that he "does not have the ability to present his own case without the help of a law-trained person from the Delaware Correctional Center Law Library due to the excessive strain on the injured eye, which has placed strain on the other eye." <u>Id.</u>
- 6. Concerning Plaintiff's ability to present his own case, he argues in his motion that he has been prescribed a medication "imipramine" to treat depression he describes as "interfere[ing] with daily functioning." On February 27, 2007, examination of plaintiff's mental

health progress note reflects that plaintiff stopped taking imipramine weeks prior when he began experiencing some pain and swelling to his body. (See Routine Mental Health Visit-Mental Health Progress Note attached as Exhibit "A"). Again, on March 15, 2007, plaintiff's request for medical services states that he put in a sick call slip for services concerning his refusal to take medications. (See Correctional Medical Services Request for Medical Services attached as Exhibits "B"). Nonetheless, these medical notes do not suggest that he exhibited such symptoms as a change in appetite, change in mind or body coordination, increased fatigue, difficulty concentrating, slowed thinking and suicidal thoughts, as he implies in his motion.

- 7. On April 6, 2007, despite contrary claims, plaintiff visited with a mental health clinician who observed that plaintiff presented with good eye contact, a cooperative interview attitude, normal speech, logical and coherent thought process, normal motor activity, no abnormal thought content, and appropriate affect with average intelligence. (See Routine Mental Health Visit-Mental Health Progress Note attached as Exhibit "C"). Moreover, the plaintiff reported that the medication change helps with his mood, improved sleep and appetite. Id. If plaintiff now attempts to argue to the Court that he is too unskilled to proceed in the absence of court appointed counsel, his argument will be outweighed by his prior performance in the filing the above outlined pleadings. Plaintiff's artful pleadings demonstrate his understanding of the particular issues and facts of the case and his ability, without assistance of counsel, to present the facts and legal issues to the court.
- 8. Plaintiff's essential claim is cruel and unusual punishment in violation of his Eighth Amendment right. This case is neither factually nor legally complex. In filing discovery requests, Plaintiff demonstrates his ability to pursue a sufficient factual investigation to support his claims. As well, credibility will not be the central issue in this case nor will expert testimony

be required. Given this analysis of the <u>Tabron</u> factors, Plaintiff's request for counsel is unsubstantiated. Tabron 6 F.3d at 155-56.

- 9. Most *pro se* litigants have little or no help from law trained persons to assist them in legal research and writing, filing pleadings or copying costs; responsibilities that Plaintiff will continue to carry out upon his release. Conversely, Plaintiff will also no longer be subject to DCC's regulations which restrict his movements at all times as a prisoner. In addition, there are law libraries, in all three counties which are opened to the public and where Plaintiff can continue researching and working on his case. As previously stated, the Plaintiff has demonstrated throughout this litigation that he understands the issues and is fully capable of litigating this case.
- 10. Plaintiff raises no new issues in this motion for appointment of counsel which would require the Court to treat it differently than Plaintiff's prior motion for appointment counsel. (See Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel, D.I. #15, and Order, D.I. #19). This case remains "neither factually nor legally complex." (See Order, D.I. #19¶2). Plaintiff continues to demonstrate the ability to litigate this action pro se by filing thorough pleadings and discovery requests, as well as adhering to Court Orders. Finally, Plaintiff fails to demonstrate any other "special circumstances indicating the likelihood of substantial prejudice."

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request this Honorable Court enter an order denying Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel.

STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

/s/Ophelia M. Waters
Ophelia M. Waters, I.D. No. 3879

Date: April 19, 2007

Deputy Attorney General Carvel State Building 6th Floor 820 N. French Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (302) 577-8400

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Charles P. Jones,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v. Thomas Carroll, Alisa Profaci, Peter Forbes and Joe Pomella, Defendants.))))))	Civil Action No. 06-129 ***
	ORDER	₹
AND NOW THIS	day of	, 2007, the Plaintiff's
Motion for Appointment of Counse	el having been pres	ented and the parties' pleadings with
respect thereto having been conside	ered,	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED	that Plaintiff's Mo	otion for Appointment of Counsel is
DENIED.		
	UNITED	STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 19, 2007 I electronically filed *Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel* with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I hereby certify that on April 19, 2007 I have mailed by United States Postal Service, the document to the following non-registered participant: Charles P. Jones; SBI # 00228197; Delaware Correctional Center; 1181 Paddock Road; Smyrna, DE 19977.

.

STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

/s/ Ophelia M. Waters
Ophelia M. Waters, I.D. #3879
Deputy Attorney General
820 North French Street, 6th Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302)577-8400
ophelia.waters@state.de.us

EXHIBIT A

Case 1:06-cv-00129-SLR Document 58-2 Filed 04/19/2007 Page 2 of 2

SUBJECTIVE: Face to face contact: Reason for contact:	t with client / in	office cell side grou MHV referral cris	up on unit other:	ation	_
Statements/events:_	V				-
Reports taking ps	sychotropic meds	as prescribed Not con	npliant with meds due	to	
None prescribed	at this time Side	effects: No Yes, de	escribe: Swelli	ng of his	testiche
OBJECTIVE: Men	ital Status Evam		only t	akes the	Prozac
Appearance: Neat/Clean Unkempt Disheveled Good eye contact Poor eye contact	Speech: Normal Loud Soft Pressured Blocked	Thought Process: Cogical/Coherent	Hallucinations: Denied Auditory	Insight Good Fair Poor	Suicidal Ideations No With Plan W/O Plan
Interview Attitude: Cooperative Unresponsive Evasive Manipulative Guarded Argumentative	Mood: Stable Euthymic Depressed Anxious Irritable	Thought Content: No Abnormal Obsessions Compulsions Phobias Euphoric	Motor Activity: Normal Slowed Restless Agitated	Judgment Dood Fair Poor	Homicidal Ideations No With Plan W/O Plan
Orientation:	Affect: Appropriate Flat Restricted Blunted Labile Inappropriate	Delusions: None Observed Persecutory Grandiose Somatic Influence	Intelligence: Average Below Average Above Average		AXIS I: V65.2 Malingering 309.0 Adj. DO, Depr. 309.24 Adj. DO, Anx. 309.28 Adj. DO, Mixed 296.33 Maj. Depr. w/o psy 296.34 Maj. Depr. with psy 311 Depr. D.O., NOS 296.80 Bipolar D. O., NOS
Observations: I/u	n Was Co	In and co	operative		296.4x Bipolar I, Manic
					300.02 Gen. Anx. D. O. 309.81 PTSD 314.9 ADHD
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	312.30 Imp. Control, NOS
AXIS II: Donknot Optional: AXIS IV Additional Assessme IMI Fra Side and Side and Electrical Axis IV	Doferred Doferred Down None : In Corc ent Comments: mine M fuct on l opped to	eration The reports edication is aim CSwellin Kingthe Ma	AXIS V: Current Co that the having a no of the Tes of or a we Health PRN	bad	295.30 Chr. Schiz., par. 295.90 Chr. Schiz., undif. 295.70 Schizoaffective DO 293.82 Subs. Induced Psych 298.9 Psychosis, NOS 303.90 Alc. Dep., IACE 305.00 Alc. Abuse 305.20 Cannabis Abuse 304.30 Cannabis Dep,IACE 304.20 Cocaine Dep., IACE AXIS II: 301.83 Borderline Pers. DO 301.7 Antisocial Pers. DO 319 MR, Unspecified V71.09None799.9 Deferred
□Co		See psychiatrist @90 n treatment plan goals as for Psych. Eval/Med Re	written		V 71.051Volle75555 Deletted
CLINICIAN SIGNAT	2. 1	tenry M. Telo	of MSW.		
IM NAME: JONE	3, Charle	29 SBI# 2281	97		
DATE: 2/27/0	7	TIME: 8:00	Am	HOUSING U	JNIT C

EXHIBIT B

DELAWAR DEPARTMENT OF CORKECTIONS

	Date of Birt	h	SBI Num	ber	D	ate Submitted	100
Comp	plaint (What type	of problem are ye	ou having)'	? Mos	2 70	9 5M	o k
te	SITK 80	me one	e as	Selt.	reled	5	
	1	S.			2 -/-	5-07	
	Inn	nate Signatura	O'SE	, –	0 / 6	Date	
The	below area is	for medical us	e only. P	Please do	not write a	any further.	
<u>S:</u>	I/M SEEN F	OR SICK CAL	1. 1/1/	M NOT	TAKING	THOSE M	E05,N
O:	Temp:	Pulse: Res	sp:	B/P:	WT:	1/M RPTS	3008
SFEC	TS FROM TO	KING MEDS, 19	E SWELLIN	e of Tes	TICLES AND	LIGHT HEADE	DNESS.
M ST	IOPOLO TAKING I	KING MEDS, 10	SI/HI.	SLEEP WA	16 . 1/m RS	ELEASED IN A	MTH.
A: ,	Axis I: GAY	DEPRESS	IEN NO	S			
	- STABLE AT	THIS TIME					
		91					
P:	REFER YM	TO YIST FOR	_ M ED	EVAL	DUE TO S	102 EFFECT	S RPTD
				(4)			
			-				
E:							
	Mot Provider S	D) WY Title	MEd	_	3/20/01 Da	7 1345 te & Time	

3/1/99 DE01

FORM#:

MED

263

EXHIBIT C

Case 1:06-cv-00129-SLR Document-58-4 Filed 04/19/2007 Page 2 of 2 MENTAL HEALTH PROGRESS *** TE

SUBJECTIVE: Face to face contact with client	in office Coell side Coro	un Oon unit Oother		
Reason for contact: Sick call Statements/events:	RMHV referral cris	sis referral evalu	ation	
Statements/events.	1/m SEEN FO	OR RMHU		
	7			
Reports taking psychotropic me None prescribed at this time	eds as prescribedNot co ide effects:NoYes, d	mpliant with meds due lescribe:	to	
OBJECTIVE: Mental Status Exam	:			,
Appearance: Neat/Clean Unkempt Disheveled Good eye contact Poor eye contact Blocked	Thought Process: Logical/Coherent Tangential Looseness Association Flight of Ideas Perseverations	Halucinations: Denied Auditory Substitute of the control of the co	Insight ☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor	Sujcidal Ideations No With Plan W/O Plan
Interview Attitude: Mordi: Stable Unresponsive Untrymic Depressed Anxious Guarded Argumentative	Thought Content:	Motor Activity: Normal Slowed Restless Agitated	Judgment Good Fair Poor	Homicidal Ideations No With Plan W/O Plan
Orientation: Affect: X4	Persecutory Grandiose Somatic Influence	Intelligence: Average Below Average Above Average		AXIS I: V65.2 Malingering 309.0 Adj. DO, Depr. 309.24 Adj. DO, Anx. 309.28 Adj. DO, Mixed 296.33 Maj. Depr. w/o psy 296.34 Maj. Depr. with psy 311 Depr. D.O., NOS
AXIS II: Unknown None	IMPROVED SO HIS ession ICHART, DEPRESS	CEP AND MY	PETITE	296.80 Bipolar D. O., NOS 296.4x Bipolar I, Manic 300.02 Gen. Anx. D. O. 309.81 PTSD 314.9 ADHD 312.30 Imp. Control, NOS 295.30 Chr. Schiz., par. 295.90 Chr. Schiz., undif. 295.70 Schizoaffective DO 293.82 Subs. Induced Psych 298.9 Psychosis, NOS 303.90 Alc. Dep., IACE 305.00 Alc. Abuse 305.20 Cannabis Abuse
Additional Assessment Comment 4//9 AT WILMIN 1/m TO CONTA	NGTON COMMUNIT	WED FOR AF Y HEALTH. EX PULLES ONCE R	PET ON COURAGED CELEASED.	304.30 Cannabis Dep,IACE 304.20 Cocaine Dep., IACE AXIS II: 301.83 Borderline Pers. DO 301.7 Antisocial Pers. DO
F/O x30 days Continue working	ed on how to contact Menta See psychiatrist @9 ag on treatment plan goals a trist for Psych. Eval/Med R	90 days s written		319 MR, Unspecified V71.09None799.9 Deferred
CLINICIAN SIGNATURE / TITLE:	Minet Deumin	and MEd		
IM NAME: JONES (HA.	RIES SBI# 22	8197		
DATE: 4/6/07 Form Last Modified 5/6/05	TIME: _ <i>0</i> 9	215	HOUSING	UNIT C